

**Application** 16/00100/FUL

**Relevant Planning History**

05/01577/TCC – Siting of a 10m high telecommunications pole with 3x sectoral antenna affixed to the top.

Refused: 11/01/2006

Reason for Refusal – Inconclusive radiation data

The greatest RF intensity from the proposed cell falls on the adjacent open spaces and recreation grounds which are regularly used by local schools for sports facilities. The siting of the equipment is therefore contrary to recommendations set out in paragraphs 1.42 and 6.68 of the government commissioned Stewart Report (2000), Guidance set out in PPG8 Telecommunications) 2001, does not provide the clarity of information sought by an Inspector under Appeal decision reference

APP/D1780/A/02/1102761 (dated 26 February 2003) and is contrary to the following policies of the Development Plan:-

Policy TC1 of the Hampshire County Structure Plan Review 2000; and,  
Policy TI6 City of Southampton Local Plan Revised Deposit Version (as proposed to be modified) 2005.

Reason for Refusal – Adverse Visual Impact

The siting and appearance of the pole and associated equipment will be detrimental to the visual amenities of the area and particularly the adjacent open space and would be an unneighbourly form of development by dominating the outlook to No. 138 Weston Lane. As such the development would be contrary to the following policies of the Development Plan:-

Policy TC1 of the Hampshire County Structure Plan Review 2000;

Policies GP1(i) and ENV3 (iii) of the City of Southampton Local Plan (1991-2001) 1995; and,

Policy TI6 City of Southampton Local Plan Revised Deposit Version (as proposed to be modified) 2005.

09/01280/TCC - Installation of a replacement pole and additional cabinet.

Refused: 15/01/2010

Reason for Refusal – Visual Impact

The proposed telecommunications mast is considered to be a visually intrusive structure within this predominantly residential location and would have a harmful impact on the street scene. This is having particular regard to the additional massing of the mast at the top which would result in mast appearing incongruous within suburban, residential context. The proposal would therefore prove contrary to the provisions of policies SDP1 (ii), SDP7 (iv)/(v), SDP9 (ii)/(iv)/(v) and TI5 (i)/(iii) of the City of Southampton Local Plan (March 2006).

11/01094/TCC – Prior approval sought for replacement telecommunications equipment, an 11.8m high monopole and a replacement radio equipment cabinet.

Refused: 10/08/2011

The proposed telecommunications mast is considered to be a visually intrusive structure within this predominantly residential location and would have a harmful impact on the street scene. This is having particular regard to the additional massing of the mast at the top which would result in mast appearing incongruous within suburban, residential context. The proposal would therefore prove contrary to the provisions of policies SDP1 (ii), SDP7 (iv)/(v), SDP9 (ii)/(iv)/(v) and TI5 (i)/(iii) of the City of Southampton Local Plan (March 2006) and CS13 (4)/(11) of the Local Development Framework Core Strategy (January 2010).

Granted at Appeal: 06/03/2011

12/00455/DIS: Application for approval of details reserved by condition 3 (Paint details) of planning permission ref 11/01094/TCC. No objection.

The submitted paint details (Fir Green, RAL 6009 for the equipment cabinet and Signal Grey, RAL 7004 for the telecommunications pole) are considered to be acceptable. Full compliance will be achieved following the completion of the works in accordance with the approved details.

14/00463/TCC: Prior approval sought for the siting and appearance of replacement telecommunications equipment comprising of a 15m high monopole, exchange of existing cabinet and installation of a second cabinet.

Refused: 25/04/2014

Reason for Refusal – Siting and appearance

The proposed telecommunications mast is considered to be a visually intrusive structure within this predominantly residential location and would have a harmful impact on the street scene. This is having particular regard to the additional massing of the mast at the top which would result in mast appearing incongruous within suburban, residential context. The proposal would therefore prove contrary to the provisions of policies SDP1(i), SDP7(i)(iii)(iv), SDP9(i)(ii)(v) and TI5(i)(iii) of the City of Southampton Local Plan (March 2006) and CS13 and CS18 of the Local Development Framework Core Strategy (January 2010).